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Two anomeric nucleosides with tricyclic carbohydrate moieties, $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$, are synthesised in 11 steps from diacetone-D-glucose, taking advantage of a stereoselective Grignard reaction, a stereoselective dihydroxylation and a regioselective tandem ring-closing procedure. The configuration of $\mathbf{3}$ is confirmed by measuring the ${ }^{3} J_{\mathbf{H H}}$ coupling constants in connection with molecular modelling and ab initio calculations, as these exclude alternative tricyclic nucleoside structures. The conformational preference for $\mathbf{3}$ is described. The furanose ring is found to be in an O-4'-endo conformation and the $\gamma$ torsion angle in the $+a p$ range.

## Introduction

Conformationally restricted nucleosides have attracted considerable attention as monomers in oligonucleotide analogues ${ }^{1-8}$ and as potential antiviral agents. ${ }^{9-12}$ Especially, nucleosides with bi- ${ }^{2-7}$ and tricyclic ${ }^{8}$ carbohydrate moieties have been synthesised in order to restrict the conformational flexibility of nucleosides into conformations which are ideal for nucleic acid recognition. As a prime example, LNA (Locked Nucleic Acid) has recently been introduced and shown to display unprecedented recognition of complementary DNA and RNA. ${ }^{4}$ Nucleoside analogues involving the nucleobase in conformationally fixed polycyclic structures have also been reported. ${ }^{13}$ Bicyclic nucleosides have demonstrated some activity against antiviral enzymes, ${ }^{9}$ e.g. HIV reverse transcriptase, ${ }^{11}$ and can, furthermore, serve as tools in the evaluation of conformational preferences and struc-ture-activity relations in nucleoside/nucleotide converting enzymes. ${ }^{11,12}$

The bicyclic nucleoside $\mathbf{1}$ has been synthesised and incorporated into oligodeoxynucleotides. ${ }^{2}$ A fully modified sequence exhibited moderately enhanced affinity towards a complementary RNA strand when compared with an unmodified oligodeoxynucleotide sequence. On the other hand a decreased affinity towards a complementary single stranded DNA strand was observed. ${ }^{2}$ The smaller and more constrained bicyclic nucleoside $\mathbf{2}$ has also been incorporated into oligodeoxynucleotides demonstrating a slight improvement over $\mathbf{1}$ concerning affinity towards complementary RNA-sequences. ${ }^{3}$ Furthermore, an improvement in affinity towards single stranded DNA-sequences was also observed. In a conformational study we have suggested $\mathbf{1}$ to prefer a $\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}$-exo conformation ${ }^{14}$ with a pseudorotation angle ${ }^{14}$ of $P \approx 129^{\circ}$ but with a slight degree of conformational freedom in the bicyclic system. ${ }^{3}$ However, an X-ray crystallographic study of $\mathbf{1}$ in a DNA dodecamer duplex has shown that the bicyclic nucleoside residues have $\mathrm{O}-4^{\prime}$-endo conformations ${ }^{14}$ with pseudorotation angles of $P=94 \pm 6^{\circ} .{ }^{15}$ Likewise, a recent NMR study has confirmed this conformation of 1 in a duplex structure. ${ }^{16}$ In this paper, our earlier conformational conclusions ${ }^{3}$ are revised.

In order to induce further conformational restriction in the bicyclic structure of $\mathbf{1}$ the introduction of a $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-3^{\prime \prime}$ bridge generating tricyclic nucleosides was planned. We chose a syn-
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thetic strategy potentially leading to both of the two possible $\beta$-configured tricyclic nucleosides 3 and $4 . \dagger$ However, only the $\left(7^{\prime} R\right)$-isomer $\dagger \mathbf{3}$ was obtained, and the synthesis of this as well as its $\alpha$-configured anomer 14 is hereby presented. The conformation of $\mathbf{3}$ was established by use of NMR spectroscopy, molecular modelling and ab initio calculations.
$\dagger$ In all the tricyclic nucleoside structures $\mathbf{3 , 4 , 1 4 , 1 5 , 1 6}$ we use the same numbering scheme as exemplified below with 3 ; C-1'-C-6' represent the atoms C-1-C-6 in the original D-glucose, and C-7' is the new bridgehead atom connecting $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-8^{\prime}$, the additional $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ positioned in either of the additional rings. $\mathrm{H}-6^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}-8^{\prime \prime}$ are positioned on the $\beta$-face of the nucleoside structure. Imaginary structures (compounds never synthesised) are throughout this report shown in italics. Note, however, that atom locants in the NMR spectra (Experimental section) follow the systematic nomenclature used for the tricycles 3, 11-14.


## Results

## Chemical synthesis

Retrosynthetic analysis of $\mathbf{3}$ and 4, both with D-altrose configuration, suggested D-glucose as the carbohydrate precursor possessing a convenient stereochemistry. Thus, diacetone-Dglucose was chosen as a very cheap and readily available starting material demanding conversion of the configuration at the C-2 and C-3 positions. Efficient conversion to the known 3 - $C$-vinyl-D-allose derivative $5^{17}$ was performed in three steps and $75 \%$ overall yield using oxidation followed by a stereoselective vinylmagnesium-bromide-mediated Grignard reaction and selective deprotection of the primary acetonide (Scheme 1).


$12 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OMe}$


Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; ii, vinyl MgBr , ether, THF ; iii, $80 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$; iv, TrCl , pyridine; v, BnBr , $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}$; vi, $20 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ in aq. MeOH ; vii, MsCl , pyridine; viii, $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, $N$-methylmorpholine $N$-oxide, aq. pyridine, $t$ - BuOH ; ix, NaH , DMF; x, thymine, $N, O$-bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide, TMS triflate, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; xi, $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}$.

Selective tritylation of the primary hydroxy group to give $\mathbf{6}$ and benzylation of the two remaining hydroxy groups to give 7 in $63 \%$ overall yield was followed by treatment with methanolic hydrochloric acid to give an anomeric mixture of detritylated methyl furanosides $\mathbf{8}$ in $76 \%$ yield. The two hydroxy groups were converted to methylsulfonic esters and the two anomers 9 and $\mathbf{1 0}$ were separated. A standard dihydroxylation of the double bond in the $\beta$-anomer 9 using osmium tetraoxide and $N$-methylmorpholine $N$-oxide as cooxidant did not, as expected, yield two diastereomeric diols but a more complex mixture of products. This mixture was, without further purification, treated with sodium hydride to give in $48 \%$ yield only one major product which was later determined to be the tri-
cyclic compound 11. Even though a tricyclic methyl furanoside structure was confirmed by NMR and MS data, the exact configuration of the new C-7'-stereocentre and the distribution of the fused ring systems were not finally solved at this stage. Using the same reaction sequence on the $\alpha$-anomer $\mathbf{1 0}$ gave the tricyclic compound 12. Coupling of either $\mathbf{1 1}$ or $\mathbf{1 2}$ to thymine using a modified Vorbrüggen method gave in both cases a mixture of anomeric nucleosides $13(\beta: \alpha \approx 3: 1)$ in $52 \%$ yield. After removal of the benzyl groups, using hydrogenation at atmospheric pressure followed by chromatographic separation, the two tricyclic nucleosides $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ were isolated in 55 and $18 \%$ yield, respectively. The major isomer was later determined to be the $\beta$-anomer $\mathbf{3}$ (vide infra).

The stereoselectivity observed for the dihydroxylation reactions on 9 and 10 was ascribed to control from the allylic oxygen ${ }^{18}$ in combination with a steric effect from the large C-4 substituent (Fig. 1). Subsequently, the primary hydroxy group could not find a position for attacking either C-2 or C-6, while the secondary hydroxy group was placed in a position favourable for attacking C-6 and forming the six-membered ring in a bicyclo[4.3.0] intermediate. The remaining primary hydroxy group in this system was thereby organised towards a nucleophilic attack at C-2 forming the tricyclic methyl furanosides $\mathbf{1 1}$ and 12.


Fig. 1 Suggested mechanism explaining the formation of the tricyclic methyl furanoside 11.

## Determination of the configuration

In order to determine the exact configuration of the two synthesised anomeric tricyclic nucleosides 3 and 14, intensive NMR investigations, molecular modelling and ab initio calculations were performed. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals were assigned using 1D- and 2D-NMR methods. This confirmed, in conjunction with MS data, tricyclic nucleoside structures. Due to a considerable signal overlap neither 1D- nor 2D-NOE experiments were able to completely elucidate the exact configurations. However, a conclusive NOE-contact between H-1' and H-4' confirmed the $\beta$-configuration of the major product (nucleoside 3), and the lack of an NOE contact between $\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}$ indicated the $\alpha$-configuration of the minor product (nucleoside 14). Furthermore, the lack of an NOE-contact between H-6 and $\mathrm{H}-7$ ' indicated the $\mathrm{C}-7$ '-configuration to be the one in 3 rather than the one in 4 . Nevertheless, the exact configuration of the major product could not be completely assigned, and four possible tricyclic $\beta$-nucleoside structures 3, 4, 15 or 16 had to be considered. However, the structure 3 seemed most likely to be identical to the major product due to preliminary NOE results as well as mechanistic arguments (vide supra, Fig. 1). Fortunately, measurements of ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}$ coupling constants in conjunction with molecular modelling did, as shown below, successfully establish the major tricyclic nucleoside product to be 3 .

Table $1{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR experimental data for 3 and $\mathbf{1 4}^{a}$

| 3 |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}$ |  | ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} / \mathrm{Hz}$ |  | $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}$ |  | ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} / \mathrm{Hz}$ |  |
| H-1' | 6.11 | H-1', H-2' | 4.7 | $\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}$ |  | H-1', $\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}$ | 1.8 |
| H-2' | 4.39 | H-1, $\mathrm{H}-2$ | 4.7 | $\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}$ | $4.72$ | H-1,H-2 | 1.8 |
| H-4' | 4.04 | H-4', H-5' | 3.1 | H-4' | 4.53 | H-4', $\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}$ | 2.5 |
| H-5' | 4.22 | H-5', H-6' | 7.7 | H-5' | 4.08 | H-5', $\mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}$ | 6.6 |
| H-6' | 4.02 | Н-5 , $\mathrm{H}-6$ | 7.7 | H-6' | 3.83 | H-5 , $\mathrm{H}-6$ | 6.6 |
| H-6" | 3.72 | H-5', H-6 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 8.0 | H-6" | 3.69 | H-5', $\mathrm{H}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | 9.1 |
| $\mathrm{H}-7^{\prime}$ $\mathrm{H}-8^{\prime}$ | 3.90 4.01 | H-7', H-8' | 3.2 | H-7' | 3.97 | H-7', $\mathrm{H}-8^{\prime}$ | $3-5^{\text {b }}$ |
| H-8" | 3.95 | H-7', H-8' | <1.5 | H-8 ${ }^{\prime} / \mathrm{H}-8^{\prime \prime}$ | $\approx 4.05$ | H-7', $\mathrm{H}-8^{\prime \prime}$ | $<2^{\text {b }}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Measured in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ at $500 \mathrm{MHz} .{ }^{b}$ Coupling constants estimated from an ABX system.


Fig. $2 \quad J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{2}^{\prime}}$ as a function of the furanose pseudorotation angle $P$ for 3, 4, 15 and 16. (A, B, C) Karplus curves calculated with puckering amplitude of $42^{\circ}, 38^{\circ}$ and $34^{\circ}$, respectively. It is evident that the observed coupling constant ( 4.7 Hz ) yields two possible conformational ranges.


Measurement of ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}$ coupling constants and determination of allowed ranges for the torsion angles
The ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}$ coupling constants of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ were determined as given in Table 1. Since no change in the coupling constants was observed for any of the nucleosides in the temperature range from -50 to $+50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ we believe that each nucleoside exists in only one conformer and not in a dynamic equilibrium between two or more conformers. All subsequent molecular modelling calculations were performed under this assumption. We derived the Karplus relationships between $J_{\mathbf{H 1}^{\prime} \mathbf{H}^{\prime}}$ and the pseudorotation angle $P$ of the furanose ring. ${ }^{19-21}$ Similarly, we derived the relationships between $J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{H 5}^{\prime}}, J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{H} 6^{\prime}}, J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{H} 6^{\prime \prime}}, J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{H 8} 8^{\prime}}$ and $J_{\mathrm{H} 7^{\prime} \mathbf{H} 8^{\prime \prime}}$ and the corresponding torsion angles for the four theoretically possible $\beta$-configured isomers $3,4,15$ and $16 . \dagger$ The Karplus dependence between $J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{2}^{\prime}}$ and $P$ is identical for all these isomers and for $\mathbf{1}$ as well. Likewise, the Karplus relationships for $J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}}, J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{H} 6^{\prime}}$ and $J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{H} 6^{\prime \prime}}$ are identical for 3, 4, 15 and 16. The Karplus relationships are shown in Figs. 2-4. Since the $\mathrm{H}-6^{\prime} / \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}-8^{\prime} / \mathrm{H}-8^{\prime \prime}$ proton pairs are geminal, the difference in the torsion angles $\theta_{\mathbf{H} 5^{\prime} \mathbf{H} 6^{\prime}}$ and $\theta_{\mathbf{H 5}^{\prime} \mathbf{H} 6^{\prime \prime}}$ as well as in the torsion angles $\theta_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{H} 8^{\prime}}$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{H} 7^{\prime} \mathbf{H} 8^{\prime \prime}}$ must be close to $120^{\circ}$ in both cases. Thus, only a few of the regions are allowed by the experimental vicinal coupling constants. These regions are marked out in the Figures and discussed below.


Fig. 3 (a) $J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{Hs}^{\prime}}$ as a function of $\theta_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{H} 5^{\prime}}$ for 3, 4, 15 and 16. The observed coupling constant $(3.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ allows four ranges of $\theta_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}}$. (b) $J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{H}^{\prime}}(-)$ and $J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime}}(---)$ as functions of $\theta_{\mathrm{HS}^{\prime} \mathbf{H} 6^{\prime}}$ for $\mathbf{3 , 4 , 1 5}$ and 16. The observed coupling constants $\left(J_{\mathrm{H} 5^{\prime} \mathbf{H} 6^{\prime}}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ and $J_{\mathrm{H} 5^{\prime} \mathrm{H} 6^{\prime \prime}}=$ 7.7 Hz or vice versa) give rise to two possible geometries.

## The configuration of 3

As demonstrated by Figs. 2-4, the measured three-bond coupling constants gave a fair amount of information, sufficient to establish the configuration and conformation of $\mathbf{3}$ with a high degree of confidence.

First, the alternative isomer 4 was considered. This is a rather strained entity as can be gauged from simple model building. Consequently, an unconstrained 3-21G* geometry optimisation yielded only one possible geometry for this configuration (Table 2). This geometry does not comply with the experimental coupling constants for the major product $\mathbf{3}$, e.g. the pseudorotation angle of the furanose is $39^{\circ}$, yielding $J_{\mathbf{H 1}^{\prime} \mathbf{H} 2^{\prime}} \approx 7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ (Fig. 2).

The other alternative isomers 15 and 16 represent a more complex situation since these ring systems contain more conformational freedom than does 4. Therefore, we carried out a number of geometry optimisations at the $3-21 G^{*}$ level either

Table 2 Calculated data on the four theoretically possible tricyclic $\beta$-nucleosides. ${ }^{a}$ All angles are in degrees

|  | $P$ | $\Phi_{\text {max }}$ | $\theta_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{HS}^{\prime}}$ | $\theta_{\text {H5 }{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}}$ | $\theta_{\text {H5'H6" }}$ | $\theta_{\text {H7 }{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}}$ | $\theta_{\text {H7'H8 }}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $\gamma^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 85 | 37 | 55 | -23 | -141 | 38 | -85 | $178^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | 201 | 38 | -53 | 47 | -73 | 0 | -125 | 62 |
|  | 201 | 38 | -42 | 16 | -103 | 12 | -113 | 78 |
| 4 | 39 | 22 | -26 | 54 | -65 | 44 | 172 | 92 |
| 15 | 175 | 23 | -42 | 84 | -39 | -55 | 62 | 81 |
|  | 161 | 18 | -38 | 88 | -35 | -38 | 85 | 86 |
|  | 178 | 24 | -42 | 83 | -40 | -64 | 60 | 80 |
|  | 91 | 30 | 69 | -30 | -148 | -57 | 62 | -163 |
|  | 188 | 32 | -12 | -30 | -148 | -64 | 60 | 107 |
|  | 171 | 25 | -6 | -30 | -148 | -38 | 85 | 115 |
| 16 | 85 | 38 | -23 | 83 | -39 | -69 | 165 | 95 |
|  | 66 | 36 | -25 | 49 | -68 | 40 | -82 | 89 |
|  | 81 | 39 | 57 | -64 | -178 | -112 | 126 | 176 |
|  | 81 | 44 | 19 | -30 | -148 | -44 | -171 | 137 |
|  | 82 | 40 | 43 | -30 | -148 | -112 | 126 | 166 |
|  | 64 | 46 | 26 | -30 | -148 | 40 | -82 | 146 |

${ }^{a}$ Gaussian94 geometry optimisations carried out at the 3-21G* level except ${ }^{b}$ which was carried out at the 6-31G* level. Torsion angles constrained in a given calculation are shown in bold. ${ }^{c} \gamma$ is defined as the $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}-\mathrm{O}$ torsion angle.


Fig. 4 (a) $J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{H} 8^{\prime}}(-)$ and $J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{H} 8^{\prime \prime}}(---)$ as functions of $\theta_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{H} 8^{\prime}}$ calculated for 3 and 16. The observed coupling constants ( $J_{\mathrm{H} 7^{\prime} \mathrm{H} 8^{\prime}}=$ 3.2 Hz and $J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H} 8^{\prime \prime}}<1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ or vice versa) give rise to four possible geometries. (b) $J_{\mathrm{H} 7^{\prime} \mathrm{H} 8^{\prime}}(-)$ and $J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{H} 8^{\prime \prime}}(---)$ as functions of $\theta_{\mathrm{H} 7^{\prime} \mathrm{H} 8}$ calculated for 4 and 15. The observed coupling constants $\left(J_{\mathbf{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{H} 8^{\prime}}=\right.$ 3.2 Hz and $J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime}}<1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ or vice versa) give rise to four possible geometries.
with no constraints or with one or two $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ fragments constrained in the geometry allowed by the coupling constants. The situation is further complicated as we, a priori, cannot perform a stereoselective assignment of the geminal $\mathrm{H}-6^{\prime} / \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}-8^{\prime} / \mathrm{H}-8^{\prime \prime}$ proton pairs. However, as shown below the analysis of the ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}$ coupling constants allows stereoselective assignment.

Table 3 Torsion angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) allowed by Karplus analysis of the experimental coupling constants ${ }^{a}$

| $15+16$ | $\theta_{\text {H5'H6' }}$ | $\theta_{\text {H5'H6" }}$ | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assignment $1^{\text {b }}$ | -30 | -148 | p.g. |
| Assignment 2 | 138 | 15 | n.p. |
| 15 | $\theta_{\text {H7'H8 }{ }^{\prime}}$ | $\theta_{\text {H7' }{ }^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}}$ | c |
| Assignment $1^{\text {b }}$ | 136 | -100 | n.p. |
|  | -38 | 85 | p.g. |
| Assignment 2 | -64 | 60 | p.g. |
|  | 116 | -120 | n.p. |
| 16 | $\theta_{\text {H7 }}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{H}^{\prime}$ | $\theta_{\text {H7 }{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H} 8^{\prime \prime}}$ | c |
| Assignment $1^{\text {b }}$ | 40 | -82 |  |
|  | -133 | 103 | d.n.c. |
| Assignment 2 | -112 | 126 | p.g. |
|  | 63 | -54 | d.n.c. |

${ }^{a} \theta_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{H} 6^{\prime}}$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{HS}^{\prime} \mathbf{H} 6^{\prime}}$ (as well as $\theta_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{H} 8^{-}}$and $\theta_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{H} 8^{\prime}}$ ) values are derived from separate Karplus curves and, hence, do not differ by exactly $120^{\circ}$. ${ }^{b}$ Assignment 1 denotes the assignment of the $\mathrm{H}-6^{\prime} / \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}-8^{\prime} / \mathrm{H}-8^{\prime \prime}$ proton pairs listed in Table 1, which subsequently was confirmed to be the correct assignment. ${ }^{c}$ p.g. $=$ possible geometry; n.p. $=$ not possible due to covalent geometry; d.n.c. $=$ calculation did not converge to a minimum.

The geometries allowed by Karplus analysis of the experimental coupling constants are listed in Table 3. Subsequently, we carried out Gaussian geometry optimisations with either the $\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}-6^{\prime} / \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime \prime}$ fragment constrained, the $\mathrm{H}-7^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}-8^{\prime} / \mathrm{H}-8^{\prime \prime}$ fragment constrained, or both these fragments constrained to the possible geometries listed in Table 3. The results of these calculations are included in Table 2. None of the calculations yielded a geometry which can encompass all the experimental data, e.g. the pseudorotational angles $P$ found for five of the six geometries of 15 are in the $161-188^{\circ}$ range which do not comply with either of the two possible conformational ranges depicted in Fig. 2. The sixth geometry of $\mathbf{1 5}$, as well as the six calculated geometries of 16 , can be excluded by either $\theta_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}}$, $\theta_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}}$ and $/$ or $\theta_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}}$ torsion angles not complying with the possible ranges depicted in Figs. 3 and 4.

In contrast, unconstrained geometry optimisations of the structure 3 at both the 3-21G* and 6-31G* levels yielded nearly



Fig. 5 A stereoview presenting the determined conformation of $\mathbf{3}$.
identical structures (Table 2, footnote $b$ ) in perfect agreement with the experimental data (Table 1 and Figs. 2-4). Thus, we conclude that only the structure $\mathbf{3}$ is commensurate with our experimental data of the major tricyclic nucleoside product obtained.

## The conformations of $\mathbf{3}$ (and 1 revised)

Two regions of the pseudorotation cycle are permitted by the experimental coupling constant for $3 J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{H}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, one close to $P=90^{\circ}$ and one in the vicinity of $P=200^{\circ}$ (Fig. 2). The unconstrained geometry optimisations gave structures with $P \approx 90^{\circ}$ (vide supra) and to test if the $P \approx 200^{\circ}$ domain is feasible we carried out two constrained geometry optimisations with $P=200^{\circ}$ (constraining two of the endo cyclic torsion angles). The two starting structures were low-energy conformations from a molecular dynamics calculation. However, neither of the conformations generated (Table 2) agreed with the measured coupling constants. Therefore, we conclude that the conformation obtained by the unconstrained geometry optimisation indeed is the conformation dictated by the experimentally observed data. A view of the determined conformation is presented in stereo in Fig. 5.

We have previously studied the conformations of $\mathbf{1 .}{ }^{3}$ However, this study was performed using a general Karplus equation ${ }^{19}$ and forcefield methods. ${ }^{3}$ To draw any conclusions on the resemblance of $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{3}$, an analysis of this bicyclic nucleoside was performed at the same theoretical level as $\mathbf{3}$, i.e. a Karplus equation parametrised for nucleotides ${ }^{20}$ and ab initio quantum mechanical calculations. It is evident from Fig. 2 that two regions of the pseudorotation cycle are allowed by the experimental coupling constant $J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}}=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz} .^{2}$ A geometry optimisation at the $3-21 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ level yielded a conformation with $P \approx 90^{\circ}$, in accordance with the measured coupling constant, ${ }^{2}$ X-ray crystallographic data, ${ }^{15}$ and an NMR high-resolution structure. ${ }^{16}$ It is noteworthy that $P=90^{\circ}$ is a 'high-energy' $\mathrm{O}-4^{\prime}$ endo furanose conformation, ${ }^{14}$ in this case probably driven by the propensity of the $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-fused tetrahydrofuran ring to adopt an envelope conformation.

## Discussion

It has to be taken into account that all our calculations were performed as gas-phase calculations and at a restricted theoretical level. However, geometry optimisations tend to converge at a theoretically lower level than energies do. ${ }^{22}$ Our level of calculations taken into consideration, we refrain from discussions of energies as these are prone to be inaccurate. The geometry of $\mathbf{3}$ found seems reasonable, e.g. the five-membered rings have puckering amplitudes of $37^{\circ}$ and $38^{\circ}$, respectively. This is normally observed in furanose and tetrahydrofuran rings in general. ${ }^{14,23}$

Both five-membered rings of the tricyclic nucleoside $\mathbf{3}$ are in envelope conformations (as found for $\mathbf{1}$ ), whereas the sixmembered ring has a twisted boat conformation. The furanose ring of the tricyclic nucleoside $\mathbf{3}$ prefers the $\mathrm{O}-4^{\prime}$-endo conformation as in the bicyclic nucleoside $\mathbf{1}$. This is an unusual and high-energy conformation in unmodified nucleosides. ${ }^{14}$ However, this sugar conformation might be favourable for nucleic acid recognition as found for oligodeoxynucleotides containing $\mathbf{1 , 2}{ }^{2} \mathbf{2 ,}{ }^{3}$ or the $2^{\prime}$-fluoro-arabinodeoxynucleoside analogues. ${ }^{15,24}$ On the other hand, the $\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ torsion angle $\gamma$ is found to be in the $+a p$ range (Table 2). This is probably unfavourable for duplex formation since in both A- and B-type duplexes $\gamma$ is in the $+s c$ range. ${ }^{14}$ Bicyclic nucleosides restricting this torsion in the $+a p /+a c$ range have been studied by Leumann and coworkers ${ }^{6,7}$ who showed that the corresponding oligodeoxynucleotides prefer Hoogsteen-association over Watson-Crick base-pairing. ${ }^{7}$ Subsequently, the ability to recognise complementary DNA and RNA was improved with tricyclic nucleosides in which $\gamma$ has been shown to be in the less unfavourable $+a c$ range. ${ }^{8}$
No conclusions about the torsional angle $\chi$ describing the glycosidic bond ${ }^{14}$ can be drawn from this study. However, no factors preventing the preferable anti conformation are expected.

The other target tricyclic nucleoside 4, which was not obtained from the present synthetic strategy, seems to be more conformationally restricted than 3 . Thus, incorporation of 4 in oligonucleotide sequences might be more favourable for highaffinity nucleic acid recognition since our calculations (Table 2) have shown the furanose ring to prefer a C-3'-endo $(\mathrm{N} \text {-type })^{14}$ conformation. Bicyclic nucleosides restricted in this conformation have demonstrated very high affinity towards both complementary RNA and DNA. ${ }^{1,4}$ Furthermore, the $\gamma$-torsion of 4 seems to be restricted in the favourable $+s c l+a c$ range.
The tricyclic $\alpha$-nucleoside $\mathbf{1 4}$ was not intensively evaluated but the $J_{\mathrm{HH}}$ coupling constants (Table 1) indicate that all torsion angles seem to be in the same range as for $\mathbf{3}$. Only the $\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}$ angle differs due to the $\alpha$-configured structure.

## Conclusions

We have synthesised the tricyclic nucleoside $\mathbf{3}$ as well as its $\alpha$-anomer 14 using an efficient and stereoselective method. The synthesis of 4 demands a different synthetic strategy in which conversion of the $7^{\prime}$-configuration must be accomplished. However, 4 seems to be restricted in a more favourable conformation than does $\mathbf{3}$ concerning incorporation into nucleic-acid-recognising oligonucleotides. Therefore, efforts towards the synthesis of 4 and evaluation of both the conformationally restricted tricyclic nucleosides $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ as monomers in oligonucleotide sequences and as biologically active compounds will be performed in due course.

## Experimental

All commercial reagents were used as supplied. Light petroleum refers to the fraction with distillation range $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All reactions were performed under an atmosphere of nitrogen. Column chromatography was carried out on glass columns using Silica gel $60(0.040-0.063 \mathrm{~mm})$. NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker AC250, a Varian Gemini 2000 or a Varian Unity 500 spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 250 , 300 or 500 MHz and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 62.5 or 75 MHz . Values for $\delta$ are in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane as internal standard. $J$-Values are in $\mathrm{Hz} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY spectra were recorded for compounds $\mathbf{3}, 8$ and 14 . ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOE difference spectra were recorded for compounds 3 and 14 and a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ COSY spectrum was recorded for compound 3. FAB mass spectra were recorded in positive-ion mode on a Kratos MS50TC spectrometer, and plasma desorption mass spectra (PDMS) on an Applied Biosystems Biopolymer Mass Analyzer BIO-ION 20R. Microanalyses were performed at The Microanalytical Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Copenhagen.

## 1,2-O-Isopropylidene-3-C-vinyl- $\alpha$-D-allofuranose ${ }^{17} 5$

A stirred suspension of chromium trioxide $(14.8 \mathrm{~g}, 136 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(228 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a mixture of anhydrous pyridine $\left(24.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(14.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added. Diacetone-D-glucose ( $21.0 \mathrm{~g}, 80.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in small portions over a period of 30 min and the mixture was stirred for another 3 h and poured into ethyl acetate $\left(800 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The mixture was filtered through a layer of silica, the filter was rinsed with ethyl acetate ( $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was re-dissolved in anhydrous THF $\left(500 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and this solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 1 M solution of vinylmagnesium bromide in THF $\left(150 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right.$; 150 mmol ) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 42 h . Water $\left(600 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added and the solution was neutralised with 4 M AcOH . The solvent was partly removed in vacuo and the residue was extracted with ether ( $3 \times 600 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\left(800 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed by distillation under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{MeOH} 99: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to give the intermediate, which without further purification was re-dissolved in $80 \% \mathrm{AcOH}\left(250 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. After stirring of the solution for 16 h and concentration in vacuo, the residue was coevaporated with toluene ( $3 \times 200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and purified by silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{MeOH} 95: 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ to give the product $5(14.9 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%)$ as a white solid, $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ in accord with literature data; ${ }^{17} \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $26.33,26.40,63.98,70.36,79.27,80.38,83.35,103.46,113.02$, 116.56, 134.23.

## 1,2-O-Isopropylidene-6-O-triphenylmethyl-3-C-vinyl- $\alpha$-Dallofuranose 6

To a stirred solution of $\mathbf{5}(2.16 \mathrm{~g}, 8.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous pyridine $\left(21 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added chloro(triphenyl)methane ( 3.66 g , $13.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 days at room temperature and treated with another portion of triphenylchloromethane ( $1.22 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and after being stirred for 2 h the mixture was quenched with water $\left(50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined extracts were washed with saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\left(150 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and then dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed by distillation under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the product $\mathbf{6}(4.02 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%)$ as a white solid (Found: C, $72.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.65 . \mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires C, $73.07 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.64 \%)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.34\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.59(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 3.6,5-\mathrm{OH}), 3.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{OH}), 3.29-$ $3.32\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 4.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.3,4-\mathrm{H})$,
$4.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 3.6,2-\mathrm{H}), 5.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 10.9$ and $1.6, \mathrm{CH}=$ $\mathrm{C} H \mathrm{H}), 5.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 17.3$ and $1.6, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH} H), 5.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J 3.6,1-\mathrm{H}), 5.87\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 17.3\right.$ and $10.9, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $7.19-7.46$ $(15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 26.36,26.52,64.89,69.77,78.95$, 80.64, 83.64, 86.61, 103.53, 112.94, 116.59, 126.95, 127.75, 128.56, 134.73, 143.64.

## 3,5-Di- $O$-benzyl-1,2- $O$-isopropylidene-6-O-triphenylmethyl-3-C-vinyl- $\alpha$-D-allofuranose 7

A $60 \%$ oily dispersion of sodium hydride ( $782 \mathrm{mg}, 19.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in anhydrous DMF $\left(17 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $6(4.02 \mathrm{~g}, 8.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DMF ( $6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added dropwise over a period of 45 min . The mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Benzyl bromide ( $2.34 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 19.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . The solvent was removed by distillation under reduced pressure and the residue was treated with water ( 50 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ), neutralised with aq. HCl , and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $\left(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined extracts were washed with saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\left(150 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and then dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed by distillation under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (light petroleum-ethyl acetate $9: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to give the product 7 $(3.87 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%)$ as a solid (Found: C, 78.80 ; H, 6.66. $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires C, $79.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.63 \%)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.37(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.60(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 4.4), 3.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.8), 4.56$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.8$ ), 4.57 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.0$ ), 4.60 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 3.7$ ), 4.69 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.0$ ), 4.77 (1H, d, $J 11.6$ ), 5.20 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 17.9$ ), 5.30 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.3), 5.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 3.8), 5.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 18.1$ and 11.5), $7.18-7.46(25 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 26.61,26.83,64.33$, $67.09,71.98,77.30,80.79,81.67,85.53,86.59,103.90,112.69$, 117.92, 126.85, 127.14, 127.21, 127.57, 127.74, 127.81, 128.14, 128.21, 128.96, 135.95, 138.79, 139.02, 144.30; PDMS m/z 691 $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$.

## Methyl 3,5-di- $O$-benzyl-3-C-vinyl-d-allofuranoside 8

A solution of $7(3.87 \mathrm{~g}, 5.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanolic $\mathrm{HCl}(20 \%$ w/w; $77 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and water $\left(11 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . After neutralisation with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$, the solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(2 \times 200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined extracts were washed with water $\left(200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and then dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed by distillation under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH} 99: 1\right.$, v/v) to give the anomeric mixture $\mathbf{8}(1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%)$ as a clear oil (Found: C, 68.91; $\mathrm{H}, 6.78 . \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires C, 68.98; H, 7.05\%); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.12$ (dd, $J 7.7$ and $5.2,6-\mathrm{OH} \alpha$ ), 2.24 (dd, $J 8.4$ and $4.9,6-\mathrm{OH} \beta$ ), 2.98 (d, J 8.0, 2-OH $\beta$ ), 3.14 (d, $J 10.0,2-\mathrm{OH} \alpha$ ), 3.47 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ $\beta$ ), $3.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3} \alpha\right), 3.44-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 6-\mathrm{H})$, 4.08 (dd, $J .9$ and 3.6, 2-H $\beta$ ), 4.14 (dd, $J 10.0$ and $4.8,2-\mathrm{H} \mathrm{\alpha}$ ), 4.34-4.69(m, CH2 Ph, 4-H), $4.91(\mathrm{~d}, J 3.5,1-\mathrm{H} \alpha), 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, J 4.7$, 1-H $\beta$ ), $5.35-5.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \alpha\right.$ ), 5.42 (dd, $J 11.1$ and 1.1, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C} H \mathrm{H} \beta$ ), $5.55(\mathrm{dd}, J 17.5$ and $1.2, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH} H \beta), 6.04$ (dd, $J 17.5$ and 11.0, $\left.\mathrm{C} H=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \alpha\right), 6.11$ (dd, $J 17.6$ and 11.2, $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \beta\right), 7.26-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ph}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 55.47,56.74,60.93$, $61.34,66.41,66.52,71.13,71.51,75.69,78.01,78.68,79.07$, 82.65, 83.01, 85.37, 101.76, 109.50, 116.99, 118.63, 126.88, 127.22, 127.48, 127.83, 127.86, 127.89, 127.94, 128.00, 128.30, 128.54, 128.57, 128.59, 132.68, 135.37, 137.70, 137.79, 138.05, 139.16; FAB-MS $m / z 423\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$.

## Methyl 3,5-di- $O$-benzyl-2,6-bis $(O$-methylsulfonyl)-3-C-vinyl-dallofuranoside 9 and 10

To a stirred solution of $8(2.41 \mathrm{~g}, 6.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous pyridine $\left(18 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise methanesulfonyl chloride ( $2.28 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 30.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature, quenched with ice-cold
water ( $200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(2 \times 250 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined extracts were washed with saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $\left(2 \times 200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed by distillation under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give three fractions as clear oils: the pure $\beta$-anomer $9(1.464 \mathrm{~g}$, $44 \%)$, the pure $\alpha$-anomer $10(1.302 \mathrm{~g}, 39 \%)$ and a mixture of anomers ( $0.412 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \%$ ).

Methyl 3,5-di- $O$-benzyl-2,6-bis( $O$-methylsulfonyl)-3-C-vinyl-$\boldsymbol{\beta}$-d-allofuranoside 9. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.01(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.48$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.33-4.71(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 3.1)$, $5.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 3.1), 5.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 11.8), 5.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 17.7), 6.05$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 17.8$ and 11.2$), 7.22-7.34(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $37.59,38.92,56.68,67.42,68.02,71.75,76.81,83.22,83.47$, 84.79, 106.53, 119.69, 127.08, 127.52, 128.03, 128.08, 128.44, 128.57, 137.18, 137.18, 138.59; FAB-MS $m / z 579\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$.

Methyl 3,5-di- $O$-benzyl-2,6-bis $(O$-methylsulfonyl)-3- $C$-vinyl-$\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-d-allofuranoside 10. (Found: C, 53.82; $\mathrm{H}, 5.78 . \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ requires C, $53.94 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.79 \%)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.05(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}), 3.51(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.31-4.74(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J 4.5), 5.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 4.3), 5.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, J 17.6), 5.51(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J 11.3), 5.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 17.8$ and 11.1$), 7.23-7.34(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 37.64,39.27,56.22,67.43,68.58,72.09,76.07,78.96$, $80.72,83.35,101.27,119.14,127.43,127.56,128.17,128.32$, 128.46, 128.67, 137.43, 137.43, 138.78; FAB-MS $m / z 579$ $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right.$].

## (1R,3R,4S,7R,10R,11R)-10,11-Dibenzyloxy-3-methoxy-2,5,8trioxatricyclo[5.3.1. ${ }^{4,11}$ ]undecane 11

To a solution of $\mathbf{9}(1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 2.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tert-butyl alcohol ( 28.6 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) were added $N$-methylmorpholine $N$-oxide ( 2.10 g , 18.1 $\mathrm{mmol})$, pyridine ( $1.44 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 18.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), water $\left(1.56 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and a $2.5 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}^{0} \%$ solution of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ in tert-butyl alcohol $\left(0.144 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The solution was stirred under reflux at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two days and quenched at room temperature with $20 \%$ aq. sodium bisulfite ( $10.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The mixture was diluted with water $\left(100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(2 \times 300 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined extracts were washed with saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\left(200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed by distillation under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH} 98: 2\right.$, v/v) to give a clear oil ( 1.152 g ), which was dried and dissolved in anhydrous DMF $\left(7 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. This solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a $60 \%$ oily dispersion of $\mathrm{NaH}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 7.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for four days. The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), saturated with NaCl , and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(2 \times 200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent was removed by distillation under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH} 99: 1\right.$, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ to give the product $11(490 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%)$ as a clear oil which was used without further purification in the next step, $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.40-4.19(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 4.2)$, $4.51-4.77(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 4.0), 7.26-7.39(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 58.02,58.36,67.76,68.44,71.21,71.42,73.07,77.10$, $84.15,89.55,104.79,127.15,127.92,127.97,128.03,128.56$, 128.62, 137.65, 137.93; FAB-MS $m / z 421\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$.

## ( $1 R, 3 S, 4 S, 7 R, 10 R, 11 R)$-10,11-Dibenzyloxy-3-methoxy-2,5,8trioxatricyclo[5.3.1.0 $\left.0^{4,11}\right]$ undecane 12

The same procedure as for preparation of $\mathbf{1 1}$ was used with precursor $10(1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 2.29 \mathrm{mmol})$, tert-butyl alcohol ( $25.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), $N$-methylmorpholine $N$-oxide ( $1.87 \mathrm{~g}, 16.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine ( $1.28 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$, 16.1 mmol ), water ( $1.39 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), a $2.5 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w} \%$ solution of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ in tert-butyl alcohol $\left(0.128 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, anhydrous DMF $\left(6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, and a $60 \%$ oily dispersion of $\mathrm{NaH}(250 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give the product $12(382 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%)$ as a clear oil which was
used without further purification in the next step, $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $3.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.66-4.08(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.52-$ $4.67(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.26-7.37(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $54.59,59.48,68.44,68.51,70.88,71.09,73.64,78.91,89.12$, 91.01, 108.20, 127.08, 127.15, 127.81, 127.94, 128.04, 128.57, 137.83, 138.17; FAB-MS $m / z 421\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$.
(3R)- and (3S)-(1R,4S,7R,10R,11R)-10,11-Dibenzyloxy-3-(thymin-1-yl)-2,5,8-trioxatricyclo[5.3.1.0 ${ }^{4,11}$ ]undecane 13
A mixture of $\mathbf{1 1}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.251 \mathrm{mmol})$ and thymine ( 64 mg , $0.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dried and dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(3.9$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ). The mixture was treated with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide (BSA) $\left(0.38 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and stirred under reflux for 15 min . After cooling of the mixture to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TMS triflate $\left(96 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added dropwise and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and then at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another 24 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15$ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent was removed by distillation under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH} 98: 2\right.$, v/v) to give the product $\mathbf{1 3}$ as a clear oil and a mixture of anomers ( $\beta: \alpha \approx 3: 1 ; 64.4 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ) which was used without further purification in the next step, $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 12.10, 12.48, 63.58, 63.86, 67.16, 67.63, 70.94, 71.38, 71.52, $71.99,72.87,73.73,74.53,79.49,80.17,83.65,83.70,85.87$, $92.60,93.69,97.75,109.30,110.46,127.22,127.37,127.93$, 128.05, 128.29, 128.31, 128.46, 128.57, 128.63, 128.70, 128.79, 136.97, 137.31, 138.01, 138.75, 139.77, 150.38, 150.49, 163.82, 164.13; FAB-MS $m / z 493\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.

## ( $3 R$ )- and ( $3 S$ )-( $1 R, 4 S, 7 R, 10 R, 11 R$ )-10,11-Dihydroxy-3-(thymin-1-yl)-2,5,8-trioxatricyclo[5.3.1.0 ${ }^{4,11}$ ]undecane 3 and 14

A solution of $\mathbf{1 3}(62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}\left(1.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was stirred at room temperature and $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(50 \mathrm{mg})$ was added. The mixture was degassed with argon and placed in a $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere. After being stirred for 36 h the mixture was directly purified by silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ $96: 4, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to give the two products $\mathbf{3}(21.8 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%)$ and $\mathbf{1 4}(7.1$ $\mathrm{mg}, 18 \%$ ) respectively.
( $1 R, 3 R, 4 S, 7 R, 10 R, 11 R$ )-10,11-Dihydroxy-3-(thymin-1-yl)-2,5,8-trioxatricyclo[5.3.1.0 ${ }^{4,11}$ ]undecane 3. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 1.85$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 0.9, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.72\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 11.2$ and $\left.8.0,9-\mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime}\right), 3.90$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 3.2$ and $1.2,7-\mathrm{H}), 3.95\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 9.8,6-\mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime}\right), 4.01(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 10.0$ and $\left.3.2,6-\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 4.02\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 10.6$ and $\left.7.6,9-\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$, $4.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 3.1,1-\mathrm{H}), 4.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J 7.7$ and $3.0,10-\mathrm{H}), 4.39$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 4.8,4-\mathrm{H}), 6.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 4.6,3-\mathrm{H}), 7.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 1.1$, thymine $6-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 12.44\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 65.29(\mathrm{C}-10), 66.75$ (C-9), 75.70 (C-6), 80.89 (C-1), 83.11 (C-7), 85.08 (C-3), 87.92 (C-11), 89.58 (C-4), 109.48 (thymine C-5), 141.06 (thymine C-6), 152.23 (thymine C-2), 166.61 (thymine C-4); FAB-MS $m / z \quad 313$ [M + H ${ }^{+}$; FAB-HRMS Found: $m / z$, 313.1036. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{+}$requires $m / z$, 313.1031.
( $1 R, 3 S, 4 S, 7 R, 10 R, 11 R$ )-10,11-Dihydroxy-3-(thymin-1-yl)-2,5,8-trioxatricyclo[5.3.1.0 ${ }^{4,11}$ ]undecane 14. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 1.88$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.69\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 10.4\right.$ and $\left.9.1,9-\mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime}\right), 3.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J 10.8$ and $\left.6.6,9-\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 4.04-4.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.6-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 4.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 4.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 2.5,1-\mathrm{H}), 4.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J 1.7,4-\mathrm{H}), 5.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 1.9,3-\mathrm{H}), 7.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 0.8$, thymine $6-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 12.80,65.11,65.91,74.04,81.89,85.27$, 88.63, 94.25, 95.74, 111.67, 139.52, 155.10, 170.05; FAB-MS $m / z 313\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.

## ab initio Calculations

All ab initio quantum mechanical calculations were performed using the Gaussian94 program. ${ }^{25}$ Geometry optimisations
were carried out at either the $3-21 G^{*}$ or $6-31 G^{*}$ levels using the restricted Hartree-Fock procedure. Constrained geometry optimisations were obtained by constraining the appropriate torsion angles with the AddRedundant option. No restraints were placed upon the glycosidic linkage $\chi$ between the furanose ring and the nucleobase in any calculations.

## Measurement of three-bond coupling constants

1D ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the nucleosides studied were acquired on a Varian Unity 500 MHz spectrometer. The nucleosides 3 and $\mathbf{1 4}$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ and spectra were obtained in the temperature range from -50 to $+50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Coupling constants were measured as the splitting of multiplet components, thereby limiting the accuracy to within $10 \%$ of the linewidth $(\approx 0.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

## Karplus relationships

Karplus relationships correlating ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}$ and torsion angles were constructed employing a state-of-the-art generalised Karplus equation [eqn. (1)] for nucleosides and nucleotides developed

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mathrm{HH}}(\theta)=\sum_{m=0}^{3} \mathrm{C}_{m} \cos (m \theta)+\sum_{n=1}^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{n} \sin (n \theta) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Altona and co-workers, ${ }^{20}$ where the electronegativity of the HCCH -fragment substituents is accounted for in the coefficients $\mathrm{C}_{m}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{n}$. Altona and Sundaralingam ${ }^{21}$ have developed a simple relationship relating the five ring torsion angles of the furanose ring, $\theta_{i}$, to the pseudorotation angle, $P$, and the puckering amplitude, $\Phi_{\max }$ [eqn. (2)]. Thus, for a given

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i}=\Phi_{\max } \cos [P+144(i-2)], i=1, \ldots 5 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

value of $\Phi_{\text {max }}$, we can deduce the value of $\theta_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}}$ depending on $P$ and hence the Karplus relationship between $J_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}}$ and $P$.
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